

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/973,766	Applicant(s) IKEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner Venkataraman Balasubramanian	Art Unit 1624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Venkataraman Balasubramanian.

(3) Derek Mason.

(2) Jane Terry.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,4-9,11-18,20-29 and 36-43.

Identification of prior art discussed: All applied.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Prior art 103 rejection was discussed. Applicants agreed to provide Amendment/ Additional evidence to distinguish over the cited prior art for further consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

V. Balasubramanian
Examiner's signature, if required